

Reynolds & Rajter paper, comments.
September 15, 2006

Reviewer #2:

I have read the paper twice and feel I am not qualified to review every aspect of the research, but I can put forward comments specific to the areas the paper is lacking. **Specifically the omission of the large body of video and photographic evidence of a plane impacting with WTC1 and WTC2.**

The evidence put forward by the government concerning physical debris cannot be waived away with a single line stating if it is offered by the government it is to be rejected outright. The same logic can be applied to this paper with similar results.

The study of building oscillations was not adequately addressed.

Eyewitness accounts are narrow and not cited for checking, a small aggregate of the testimony is not sufficient, especially when the body cited is in direct conflict with the larger body of testimony.

I would also like some comments concerning empirical evidence of what happens when an aircraft traveling at comparable speeds to the WTC2 impact. This evidence contradicts the "like butter" rationale offered in the paper.